



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/566,961	01/27/2006	Toshiyuki Oga	P/1878-195	9225
2352 7590 10/08/2008 OSTROLENK FABER GERB & SOFFEN 1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 100368403				
EXAMINER				
SHEDRICK, CHARLES TERRELL				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2617				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
10/08/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/566,961

Applicant(s)

OGA, TOSHIYUKI

Examiner

CHARLES SHEDRICK

Art Unit

2617

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 July 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SF/ICE)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 7/8/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues Tomohide et al. fail to describe a mobile information terminal, as claimed in independent claim 1. Tomohide et al. discloses that both a touch sensor and a keyboard are provided on a mobile information terminal. Tomohide et al. discloses in paragraph [0019], "the touch sensor 8 is arranged so that the surface of the display 1 may be covered, and it detects the pointing operation to the surface of the display 1 with a user's finger and input pen."

Therefore, it is respectfully submitted that the touch sensor that is disclosed in Tomohide et al. is not a sensor for detecting that a finger of an operator is placed on each of the operation keys, which are disposed on a surface opposite to a display surface, as claimed in independent claim 1.

The Examiner respectfully disagree and request that Applicant carefully consider the language of claim 1.

2. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., a sensor for detecting) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

3. Furthermore the at least the rear keyboard which is opposite display as noted in at least the Japanese Patent Abstract would read on the claim language as written.

4. The Examiner respectfully submits that the claim language reads opposite which reads on left with respect to right, top with respect to bottom and rear with respect to from etc

5. In regard to independent claim 7, The Applicant argues that the Examiner states that Bogward teaches a mobile information terminal which comprises a display device and keypads that correspond to a plurality of operation keys disposed on a surface opposite to a surface on which the display surface of the display device is disposed, (Office Action, page 5, lines 1-4).

However, it is respectfully submitted that the keypads in Bogward are not disposed on a surface opposite to a surface on which the display surface of the display device is disposed, as claimed in independent claim 7, but appear to be disposed on a surface on which the display surface of the display device is disposed, (see Fig. 1B).

Further, Bogward appears to fail to disclose "a processing operation to change the assignment of key codes to said operation keys in response to an input signal from said gravity sensor," as claimed in independent claim 7.

Bogward teaches in the cited section that therefore proves particularly advantageous to provide at least one leaf, but better both leaves, of the mobile device with one gravity sensor each, which sensor detects the orientation of the respective leaf in the field of gravity. The output signals of the gravity sensor/gravity sensors are fed to the electronic controller (central processor unit, not illustrated) of the mobile device 1001 and can be detected for the automatic detection of operating modes.

6. Therefore the rejection is maintained as proper.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tomohide et al.
JP Patent Pub. No.: 07-295720.

Consider **claim 1**, Tomohide, teaches a mobile information terminal comprises a display device, a plurality of operation keys disposed on a surface opposite to a surface on which the display surface of said display device is disposed (e.g., see drawing 1), finger position detecting mechanisms for detecting that a finger of an operator is placed on each of said operation keys (e.g., see **Japanese Patent Abstract, paragraphs 0016-0020**), and a control section to which signals from said operation keys and said finger position detecting mechanisms are entered and which can control the operation of said display device (e.g., see **Japanese Patent Abstract , see information processing section 4 and at least paragraph 0020**), wherein said control section executes a processing operation to cause said display device to display an image showing the arrangement of said operation keys and to change an icon which is included in the image of said arranged operation keys and which corresponds to one of said operation keys on which the operator placed his/her finger when the control section determines, according to an input signal from said finger position detecting mechanisms, that the finger of the operator is placed on said one of operation keys (e.g., see **Japanese Patent Abstract, see information processing section 4 and at least paragraphs 0016- 0020**).

Consider **claim 2 and as applied to a mobile information terminal according to claim 1**, Tomohide teaches wherein each of said finger position detecting mechanisms includes a half-depressing sensor for detecting that each of said operation keys is half-depressed and/or includes a touch sensor for detecting that the finger of the operator touches each of said operation keys (e.g., see **touch sensor 8 as noted in paragraph 0032**).

Consider **claim 3 and as applied to the mobile information terminal according to claim 1**, Tomohide teaches wherein executing the processing operation to change the icon that corresponds to one of said operation keys on which the finger of the operator is placed, is executed by changing at least one of a display color, a display figure, a display brightness, and a flickering pattern in the displayed icon (e.g., see **image processing noted in paragraph 0034**).

Consider **claim 4 and as applied to the mobile information terminal according to claim 1**, Tomohide teaches wherein said control section executes a processing operation to start or stop a predetermined program stored in advance when said control section determines (e.g., see **feedback part and information processing section paragraphs 0027-0031**), according to a signal from said finger position detecting mechanisms, that the finger of the operator is placed on a predetermined key of said operation keys, or when said control section determines, according to a signal from said finger position detecting mechanisms, that the finger of the operator is sequentially placed on some of said operation keys in a predetermined order (e.g., see **feedback part and information processing section paragraphs 0027-0031**).

Consider **claim 5 and as applied to the mobile information terminal according to claim 4**, Tomohide teaches wherein at least one processing operation to display a predetermined

image on said display device or to stop the display, to display predetermined selection information on said display device, to turn the light of an illuminator on or off or to cause the illuminator to flicker, to generate or to halt a vibration of a predetermined pattern, to generate or stop a sound having a predetermined pattern, to connect the mobile information terminal to another information processing apparatus, to which the mobile information terminal can be connected through a network, or to disconnect the connection, is executed by starting or by stopping said predetermined program (e.g., see **feedback part and information processing section paragraphs 0027-0031**).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(c) as being anticipated by Bogward US Patent Pub. No.: 20040049743 A1.

Consider **claim 7**, Bogward teaches a mobile information terminal comprises a display device (e.g., see **figures 1**), a plurality of operation keys disposed on a surface opposite to a surface on which the display surface of said display device is disposed (e.g., see **keypads in at least figure 1**), a gravity sensor for detecting whether gravity is applied in a direction from the side of said display surface of said display device to the opposite side, or whether gravity is

applied in the opposite direction(e.g., **opposite direction considered top to bottom and or left to right**) (see **at least discussion of gravity sensor and switch in paragraphs 0327-0336**), and a control section to which signals from said operation keys and said gravity sensor are entered and which can control the operation of said display device(e.g., see **at least discussion of gravity sensor and switch in paragraphs 0327-0336**), wherein said control section executes a processing operation to cause said display device to display an image showing the arrangement of said operation keys and a processing operation to change the assignment of key codes to said operation keys in response to an input signal from said gravity sensor (see **at least discussion of gravity sensor and switch in paragraphs 0327-0336**).

Consider **claim 8** and as applied to the mobile information terminal according to **claim 6**, Bogward teaches wherein the arrangement of the key codes assigned to said operation keys when gravity is applied in a direction from the side of the display surface of said display device to the opposite side is a mirror image of the arrangement of the key codes assigned to said operation keys when gravity is applied in a direction from the side opposite to the side of the display surface of said display device to the side of the display surface (see **at least paragraph 0437 and claims 13-15**).

Consider **claim 9** and as applied to the mobile information terminal according to **claim 7**, Bogward teaches wherein the arrangement of the key codes assigned to said operation keys when gravity is applied in a direction from the side of the display surface of said display device to the opposite side is a mirror image of the arrangement of the key codes assigned to said operation keys when gravity is applied in a direction from the side opposite to the side of the

display surface of side display device to the side of the display surface(see at least paragraph 0437 and claims 13-15).

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CHARLES SHEDRICK whose telephone number is (571)272-8621. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 8:00AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, V. Paul Harper can be reached on (571)-272-7605. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/VINCENT P. HARPER/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617

/Charles Shedrick/
Examiner, Art Unit 2617
September 30, 2008